Minimizing fluoride by coagulation on iron(III) hydroxide in drinkable water from Oasis region of Algeria
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ABSTRACT

The drinkable water in oasis region of Algeria contains high quantity of fluoride which causes dental fluorosis. To reduce fluoride, we have chosen a sample with the biggest content of fluoride among many sources in order to coagulate it with FeSO$_4$$\cdot$H$_2$O. After that the parameters influencing (concentration, pH, temperature) are studied to choose the best conditions for better reduction.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The concentration of fluoride in groundwater depends on the geological characteristics, and chemical properties of rocks and climate of the region. Fluoride content in the groundwater of Oasis region in Algerian desert often exceed World Health Organization standards, which indicated that the consumption of high fluoride water for long periods causes health complications from discolored teeth to fluoride poisoning Bone. When concentration between (0.5-1.5 mg / l), it gives good protection against tooth decay; and if it exceeds 1.5 mg / l, defect occurs in tooth enamel but at a concentration of between 4 and 8 mg / l, it leads to the risk of fluorosis skeletal [1].

The water of Oasis region is characterized by high concentrations of fluoride, associated with severely high and excessive total mineralization. This water is the only source of drinking. The hot and dry climate has forced people to consume a lot of water which leads to raise the daily consumption rate of fluoride, in addition the eating a lot of dates and tea leads to the spread of Fluorosis disease which is characterized by the yellowish of tooth enamel according to the classification of the national program of school health [2,3]. To prevent these diseases from happening or reduce them many techniques of defluoridation are used such as: membrane technologies, precipitation and adsorption. our study is focused on adsorption with sulfate iron(II) salt and determination of optimal conditions for a better reduction of fluoride in drinking water [8].
2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Preparation of fluoride curve witness

To determine the concentration of fluoride in various samples, a potentiometer method was used (Rodier2005) [4]. Different standard concentration solutions were prepared from NaF salt in cups of plastic. Then their potential is measured by using specific fluoride pole (ISE15381/1) and a pH-meter model (pH211), using a solution of TISAB\(^{(6)}\). The graph \( E = f(\log C_{F^-}) \) is presented in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. The witness graph for fluoride.](image)

2.2. Determination of fluoride concentration in some samples of the study area

The concentration of fluoride has been determined in some water sources of the study area in order to determine and treat the largest content of fluoride. The results are presented in Table 1. The selected sample (cold water of Shuhada) has a concentration of fluoride 2.61 mg/l.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of water</th>
<th>mars city</th>
<th>mastur city</th>
<th>400 city</th>
<th>8may city</th>
<th>1Nov City</th>
<th>Nezla city</th>
<th>Shuhada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[F (^{-})](^{\text{(mg/l)}})</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Determination of the predominant concentration of ions in the studied water

The study was done according to (Rodier2005) [4] on cold water of Shuhada as follows:
2. 3. 1. Nitrates and sulfates
   Spectroscopy method (UV) ray using (spectrophotometer DR 2400).

2. 3. 2. Total hardness
   By complexity with EDTA\(^{(1)}\) in the presence of Eriochrome BlackT at buffer solution of pH = 10.

2. 3. 3. Sodium and potassium
   Using flame atomic absorption analysis. Results of Predominant ions are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>SO(_4^{2-})</th>
<th>Ca(^{2+})</th>
<th>Mg(^{2+})</th>
<th>Na(^+)</th>
<th>K(^+)</th>
<th>NO(_3^-)</th>
<th>TA</th>
<th>TAC</th>
<th>Cl(^-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C (mg/l)</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. 3. 4. Alkalinity
   Determining TA\(^{(4)}\) and TAC\(^{(5)}\) using PhPh\(^{(3)}\) and MO\(^{(2)}\) indicators respectively.

2. 3. 5. Chlorides
   Volumetric method for Mohr.

2. 3. 6. Calcium concentration
   By complexity with EDTA\(^{(1)}\) in the presence of Murexide at a solution of pH = 12.

2. 3. 7. Magnesium concentration
   Calculated from the difference Total hardness and Calcium concentration. The results are presented in Table 2.

2. 4. Treatment
   The factors affecting (mass, pH, and temperature T) were studied by the Coagulation method using FeSO\(_4\cdot\)H\(_2\)O with a purity of 84 %.

2. 4. 1. Effect of iron ion concentration
   Based on the coagulation of fluoride on Fe(OH)\(_3\); according to equilibrium (7). 100 ml of Shuhada water was put in each cup of plastic then the pH and temperature T were measured, after that different amount of the same salt was added to each cup. After stirring for 3 minutes, they are left for a while then filtered, finally the amount of fluoride in the filtrate was measured. The results were presented in Table 3 and Figure 2.
Table 3. Relation between the added iron and the residual fluoride (pH = 7.5 and T = 17.5 °C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Fe$^{2+}$] (g/l)</th>
<th>0.06</th>
<th>0.31</th>
<th>1.55</th>
<th>3.10</th>
<th>6.19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[F$^-$] (mg/l)</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Variation of residual fluoride against added iron concentration.

2.4.2. Effect of pH

Based on adsorption of fluoride ion on Fe(OH)$_3$ which is related to the pH of the studied water according to the equilibrium (7). The same steps of the previous experiment are repeated as mentioned in (2.4.1) by fixing the temperature and the added optimal concentration of iron sulfate but changing the pH by buffer solutions. The results are presented in Table 4 and Figure 3.

Table 4. Relation between the pH and the residual fluoride [Fe$^{2+}$] = 1.55 g/l, T = 24.5 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>4.0</th>
<th>5.0</th>
<th>6.0</th>
<th>7.0</th>
<th>7.5</th>
<th>8.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[F$^-$] (mg/l)</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4.3. Effect of temperature $T$

The same steps of the experiment are repeated as mentioned in (2.4.1) by fixing the added optimal concentration of FeSO$_4$ and the optimal pH, but changing the temperature. The results were presented in Table 5 and Figure 4 [5].

Table 5. Relation between the temperature and the residual fluoride $[\text{Fe}^{2+}] = 1.55 \text{ g/l}$, pH = 7.50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>16.4</th>
<th>25.0</th>
<th>30.0</th>
<th>35.0</th>
<th>40.0</th>
<th>45.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{F}^-]$ (mg/l)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Variation of residual fluoride against temperature.
3. EQUATIONS AND EQUILIBRIUMS

\[
\text{FeSO}_4 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \quad \text{(1)}
\]
\[
\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe(HCO}_3\text{)}_2(s) \quad \text{(2)}
\]
\[
4\text{Fe}^2+ + 10\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons 4\text{Fe(OH)}_3(s) + 8\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \quad \text{(3)}
\]
\[
4\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons 4\text{Fe}^{3+} + 4\text{OH}^- \quad \text{(4)}
\]
\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} + 4\text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe(OH)}_4^- \quad \text{(5)}
\]
\[
\text{Fe(OH)}_3(s) + \text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe(OH)}_4^- \quad \text{(6)}
\]
\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} + 3\text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe(OH)}_3(s) \quad \text{(7)}
\]
\[
\eta = \text{Ae}^B \text{T} \quad \text{(1)}
\]
\[
V = \frac{ZDE}{4\pi\eta} \quad \text{(2)}
\]
\[
V_{\text{mob}} = \frac{V}{E} \quad \text{(3)}
\]

Where: \( \eta \) = viscosity coefficient; \( A, B \) = constants related to the quality of the liquid; \( T \) = temperature of solution; \( D \) = dielectric constant of water; \( Z \) = Zeta potential. \( E \) = electric field intensity; \( V_{\text{mob}} \) = speed of observed particles; \( V \) = speed of particles in an electric field.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

✓ According to the results of Table 2 we observe that the high concentrations of \( \text{Ca}^{2+}, \text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{SO}_4^{2-}, \text{CF} \) and \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) exceed the WHO standards of water. This related to the geological characteristics and the structure of rocks.

✓ The results of Table 3 indicate that the concentrations of residual fluoride are decreased when the values of added iron(II) concentration are increased. This can be explained by the formation of gelatious iron(III) hydroxide (equilibriums 1, 2 and 3) which adsorbs \( F^- \) [5]. After that the remaining fluoride is risen with the addition of iron(II) because of the formation of insoluble complex \( \text{Fe(OH)}_3^- \) (equilibriums 4, 5 and 6). The optimal concentration of iron is 0.08 g/l.

✓ The results of Table 4 show that the residual \( F^- \) is decreased when the pH is increased which is proved by equilibrium (7). The optimal chosen pH = 7.6 leads to a residual fluoride concentration equals 1.46 mg/l which fits the OMS standard.

✓ According to the results of Table 5 we observe that residual \([F^-]\) is decreased when \( T \) is increased, this can be proved by the following:

increasing \( T \) leads to the decrease of \( F^- \) [equation (1) of Guzman-andrad], so \( V \) is increased [equation (2)]. As a result \( V_{\text{mob}} \) is increased [equation(3)]. \( V_{\text{mob}} \) increases the probability of convergence \( F^- \) from \( \text{Fe(OH)}_3 \) particles which augment the adsorption of \( F^- \) on the surface of the particles [6,7]. The optimal chosen \( T = 25.4 \) °C leads to a residual fluoride concentration equals 1.62 mg/l which fits the OMS standard.
5. CONCLUSION

- According to the quantity of fluoride in the water of Oasis region in Algeria, it appears that most of them contain surplus exceeds the standard value of (WHO)\(^9\) with a total high hardness.
- The present investigation indicates that reducing fluoride from water is an economic method because using a small amount of Fe\(_2\)(SO\(_4\))\(_3\) is needed for this purpose.
- Through the study of factors affecting (concentration, pH, temperature) it is possible to choose the best conditions for a reduction process with FeSO\(_4\)·H\(_2\)O by adding an amount of iron(II) ion at a concentration of 0.08 g/l, pH = 7.6 and a temperature of 25.4 °C.

ABRIVIATIONS

- EDTA\(^1\): ComplexonIII (Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid disodium salt).
- MO\(^2\): methyl orange
- Ph.Ph\(^3\): phenolphthalein
- TA\(^4\): alkalimetric title.
- TAC\(^5\): The complete alkalimetric title.
- TISAB\(^6\): total ionic strength adjustment buffer
- WHO\(^7\): World Health Organization.
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