Ca-Modified Co/SBA-15 Catalysts for Hydrogen Production through Ethanol Steam Reforming
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ABSTRACT

Hydrogen production through steam reforming of ethanol (SRE) over the Ca-modified Co/SBA-15 catalysts was studied herein to evaluate the catalytic activity, stability and the behavior of coke deposition. The Ca-modified SBA-15 supports were prepared from the Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (10 wt %) which was incorporated to SBA-15 by incipient wetness impregnation (assigned as Ca/SBA-15) and direct hydrothermal (assigned as Ca-SBA-15) method. The active cobalt species from the Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (10 wt %) was loaded to SiO₂, SBA-15 and modified-SBA-15 supports with incipient wetness impregnation method to obtain the cobalt catalysts (named as Co/SiO₂, Co/SBA-15, Co-Ca/SBA-15 and Co/Ca-SBA-15, respectively). The prepared catalysts were characterized by using X-ray diffraction (XRD), temperature programmed reduction (TPR), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and BET. The catalytic performance of the SRE reaction was evaluated in a fixed-bed reactor. The results indicated that the Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalyst was preferential among these catalysts and the ethanol can be converted completely at 375 °C. The hydrogen yield (Y_H₂) approached 4.76 at 500 °C and less coke deposited. Further, the long-term stability test of this catalyst approached 100 h at 500 °C and did not deactivate.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, hydrogen becomes the clean and most promising carbon free energy carrier for fuel cells, i.e. proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) that can provide highly efficient electric power for both mobile and stationary applications [1]. It can be stored and delivered in a usable form, but it must be produced on-board from hydrocarbons or liquid fuels, i.e. alcohol like ethanol, which has received much attention due to several advantages when compared to hydrocarbons. From the environmental point of view the use of ethanol is preferred because renewable ethanol obtained from biomass offers high hydrogen content, non-toxicity, safe storage and easy handling [2-4]. Ethanol can be catalytically converted with active metals or metal oxides through steam reforming into a H₂-rich gas at a moderate temperature (range 300 °C to 600 °C) [5-10]. Among these, Co-based catalysts exhibit appreciable activities for the C–C bond broken and water-gas shift (WGS) reaction.
Supported cobalt catalysts showed a significant improvement of the catalytic performance, such as the low reaction temperature and low by-products are efficient in the SRE reaction. Haga et al. [11] found that the properties of the cobalt catalysts were greatly influenced by the supports. Among these catalysts, the Co/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst showed high hydrogen selectivity for SRE by suppressing the CO methanation and the ethanol decomposition. Llorca et al. [5] focused on the various oxides as supports that included acidic/basic and redox properties. In the designing of high efficiency SRE over Co/Al$_2$O$_3$ and Co/SiO$_2$ catalysts, Batista et al. [1] showed that the Co/SiO$_2$ catalyst possessed better CO removal capacity. Llorca et al. [12] reported that the prepared Co/ZrO catalyst from Co$_2$(CO)$_6$ precursor could obtain CO-free hydrogen and highly stable on the SRE reaction.

In order to improve the catalytic performance of a catalyst, doping extra components to modify the catalyst is one method, the metals such as alkali (Li, Na and K) [13], alkaline earth (Mg and Ca) [14, 15] and lanthanide (La and Ce) [15] have been doped. Pigos et al. [13] reported that the addition of Na and K over Pt/ZrO$_2$ catalyst significantly improved the formate decomposition rates on the WGS reaction. Wang et al. [14] reported that the addition of Na improved the catalytic performance of the PtRu/ZrO$_2$ catalyst for oxidative steam reforming of ethanol, where the added Na not only enhanced the WGS at low temperature, but also depressed the coke. Cheng et al. [15] suggested that the doped alkaline earth or lanthanide oxides in Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst can promote the reforming CH$_4$ with CO$_2$.

Besides the above method, the choice of support with high surface area to disperse metal phase is the other way. The support materials such as ZSM-5 [16], MCM-41 [17] and SBA-15 [18] have been widely used in recently years, based on their large pores, thick walls and high thermal stability for high temperature catalytic reaction. Based on this regard, mesoporous material as support is considerable interest that gives an improvement on hydrogen production via steam reforming reaction [19-24]. Effect of alkaline earth metals (Mg and Ca) as promoter over Cu-Ni/SBA-15 [21] and Cu-Ni/SiO$_2$ [23] catalysts have been studied; both of them improved the dispersion of the metallic phase and metal-support interaction, where high hydrogen selectivity was obtained with Mg, while the incorporation of Ca depressed the coke deposition. Wang et al. [24] reported that pre-coating Ce$_x$Zr$_{1-x}$O$_2$ layer on Ni-based SBA-15 catalyst can improve the redox property and enhance the catalytic activity on steam reforming of methane. Co-based catalysts are well known to consider on the SRE reaction, while deactivation by the deposited carbon can not be avoided [25]. The SBA-15 supported Co-based catalysts with high surface area and modified by the Ca have been prepared in this work. This study aimed to develop a highly efficient and more stable Co-based catalyst for the SRE reaction to generate high H$_2$ and low CO selectivity in the outlet gas, which could facilitate relatively easier down-stream CO clean-up of PEMFC application. The behavior of coke via the SRE reaction is also considered.

2. EXPERIMENTAL
2.1. Catalyst Preparation

SBA-15 was prepared according to the method described in the literature [18]. A triblock copolymer P123 (8 g, Strem) was dissolved in a solution of the 250 mL HCl (1.9 M). The solution was stirred at 40 °C for 2 h, and 16 g of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) was then solvoly added to the mixture with vigorous stirring at 40 °C for 22 h. The solution was transferred into a Teflon bottle and aged at 100 °C for 24 h. The solid product was filtered, washed with deionized water and then dried at room temperature for 24 h, followed by
calcination in air at 500 °C for 6 h. The SiO₂ support was a commercial from Aldrich (506 m²/g).

The Ca-modified SBA-15 supports were prepared from the Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (10 wt %) which was incorporated to SBA-15 by incipient wetness impregnation (assigned as Ca/SBA-15) and direct hydrothermal (assigned as Ca-SBA-15) method. Obtained solid product was filtered, washed with deionized water and then dried at room temperature for 24 h, followed by calcination in air at 550 °C for 5 h. The active cobalt species from the Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (10 wt %) was loaded to SiO₂, SBA-15 and modified-SBA-15 supports with incipient wetness impregnation method. All samples were dried at 100 °C overnight and then calcined at 300 °C for 3 h to obtain the cobalt catalysts (named as Co/SiO₂, Co/SBA-15, Co-Ca/SBA-15 and Co/Co-SBA-15, respectively).

2. 2. Catalyst Characterization

The metal loadings of catalysts were determined by the atomic-emission technique (ICP-AES) using a Perkin Elmer Optima 3000 DV instrument. The BET surface area and pore size distribution of the catalysts were measured by N₂ adsorption at liquid N₂ temperature using Micromeritics ASAP 2010 analyzer. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was performed using a Siemens D5000 diffractometer with Cu Kα1 radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) at 40 kV and 30 mA. The microstructure and particle size of the samples were observed by using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with a JEOL JEM-2010 microscope equipped with a field emission electron source and operated at 200 kV. The elemental analysis (EA) of the carbon was determined by a HERAEUS VarioEL-III analyzer. Reduction behavior of the catalysts was studied by temperature programmed reduction (TPR). About 50 mg sample was heated in a flowing 10 % H₂/N₂ gas (10 ml·min⁻¹) with a heating rate 7 °C·min⁻¹ from room temperature to 800 °C. Hydrogen consumption was detected by a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

2. 3. Activity test

Catalytic activity of SBA-15-supported cobalt catalysts toward SRE reaction were performed at atmospheric pressure in a fixed-bed flow reactor. A catalyst amount of 100 mg was placed in a 4 mm i.d. quartz tubular reactor, held by glass-wool plugs. The temperature of the reactor was controlled by a heating tape, and measured by a thermocouple (1.2 mm i.d.) at the center of the reactor bed. The feed of the reactants was comprised of a gaseous mixture of ethanol (EtOH), H₂O and Ar (purity 99.9995 %, supplied by a mass flow controller). The composition of the reactant mixture (H₂O/EtOH/Ar = 37/3/60 vol. %) was controlled by a flow Ar stream (22 ml·min⁻¹) through a saturator (maintained 120 °C) containing EtOH and H₂O. The gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) was maintained at 23,000 h⁻¹ and H₂O/EtOH molar ratio was 13 (H₂O : EtOH = 80 : 20 by volume). Prior to the reaction, the sample was activated under air at 400 °C for 3 h. The SRE activity was tested stepwise, while increasing the temperature from 250 to 500 °C. The reaction products were separated with columns of Porapak Q (for CO₂, H₂O, C₂H₄, CH₃CHO, CH₃OCH₃ and EtOH) and Molecular Sieve 5Å (for H₂, CH₄ and CO) and quantitatively analyzed by two sets of TCD-GC on line. Response factors for all products were obtained and the system was calibrated with appropriate standards before each catalytic test. Ethanol conversion (X_EtOH), hydrogen yield (Y_H₂) and products selectivity (S_i) was evaluated and calculated according to the following equations, where ∑ₙᵢ was included the H₂.
\[ X_{\text{EtOH}} = \frac{n_{\text{EtOH, reacted}}}{n_{\text{EtOH, fed}}} \times 100 \% \quad (1) \]
\[ Y_{\text{H2}} = \frac{n_{\text{H2-out}}}{n_{\text{EtOH, reacted}}} \quad (2) \]
\[ S_i = \frac{n_i}{\sum n_i} \times 100 \% \quad (3) \]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Characterization of fresh catalyst

XRD patterns of the silica-supported cobalt catalysts are shown in Fig. 1. Small-angle XRD patterns [Fig. 1(A)] show that the main diffraction peaks assigned to (100), (110) and (200) reflections, respectively, which indicate that the ordered hexagonal mesostructure of SBA-15 and Ca-SBA-15 supported cobalt catalysts are well retained. However, the mesostructure is destroyed on the Co-Ca/SBA-15 catalyst. Apparently, the doped Ca on SBA-15 can retain the mesostructure with the direct hydrothermal and the post-synthesis of Co-Ca/SBA-15 catalyst cannot retain the mesostructure. High-angle XRD patterns [Fig. 1(B)] indicate that the main diffraction peaks occur at 2θ values of 31.3°, 36.8°, 59.4° and 65.2°, and 36.8° peak concerning with the crystallographic (311) plane of the cubic \( \text{Co}_3\text{O}_4 \) phase (JCPDS No: 76-1802).

![Fig. 1(A)]
Fig. 1. XRD patterns of (A) Small angle (B) Wide angle of the silica-supported cobalt catalysts: (a) Co/SiO$_2$ (b) Co/SBA-15 (c) Co/Ca-SBA-15 (d) Co-Ca/SBA-15.

No apparent diffraction peaks observed for the Co-Ca/SBA-15 sample since the mesostructure is destroyed on the Ca/SBA-15 support which maybe caused the formation of an amorphous Co$_3$O$_4$ spinel from the CoO and SiO$_2$. According to the (311) diffraction pattern of Co$_3$O$_4$ crystalline, the particle size can be calculated using the Scherrer equation [26].

Table 1. Physical property of the supports and silica-supported cobalt catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Co$_3$O$_4$ (311)*</th>
<th>Surface area (m$^2$.g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Average Pore Size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBA-15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/SBA-15</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-SBA-15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/Ca-SBA-15</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca/SBA-15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Ca/SBA-15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated from the (311) diffraction plane of Co$_3$O$_4$ with Debye-Scherrer equation.
The calculated average crystallite sizes are summarized in the 2\textsuperscript{nd} column of Table 1. It can be found that the diffraction peaks of Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} become wider for Co/SBA-15 and Co/Ca-SBA-15 samples. This indicates that the SAB-15 and Ca-SBA-15 supported cobalt catalysts can be well dispersed the active cobalt species and possess high surface area (list in the 3\textsuperscript{rd} column of Table 1). As the mesoporous SBA-15 blocked and/or destroyed, i.e. Ca/SBA-15 support and Co-Ca/SBA-15 catalyst, the surface area decreased rapidly. Moreover, in Fig. 2, TEM images of catalysts are shown to reinforce these differences in terms of morphology and homogeneity of the active phase. The supported particles (dark zones) can be observed over the mesoporous structure of SBA-15 and Ca-SBA-15 supports (long parallel channels in hexagonal array). The intact mesoporous structure is in accordance with the characterization of small-angle XRD detection.

![TEM images of the silica-supported cobalt catalysts: (a) Co/SiO\textsubscript{2} (b) Co/SBA-15 (c) Co/Ca-SBA-15 (d) Co-Ca/SBA-15.](image)

\textbf{Fig. 2.} TEM images of the silica-supported cobalt catalysts: (a) Co/SiO\textsubscript{2} (b) Co/SBA-15 (c) Co/Ca-SBA-15 (d) Co-Ca/SBA-15.
In order to further identify the cobalt species in these catalysts, the TPR is characterized. Fig. 3 shows the TPR profiles of the silica-supported cobalt catalysts. According to previous report [8], the Co$_3$O$_4$ could be subsequently reduced to CoO and Co. Three samples [Fig. 3(a) – (c)] reveal two reduction peaks around 250 to 400 °C can be assigned as the consecutive reduction of Co$_3$O$_4$ to CoO and CoO to Co, respectively. The slight shift of reductive peaks to low temperature for the Co/Ca-SBA-15 sample [Fig. 3(c)] indicates that a well-dispersed of cobalt oxide may be possible. This is in accordance with the calculated average crystallite size from the XRD detection. Especially, the TPR profile of Co-Ca/SBA-15 catalyst presents low temperature [Fig. 3(d)] and high temperature peaks. According to the literatures reported [27, 28], the CoSiO$_3$ spinel was reduced at higher temperature than both CoO and Co$_3$O$_4$. It demonstrates that the Co-Ca/SBA-15 catalyst contains minor cobaltic oxide (low temperature reduction) and major CoSiO$_3$ spinel (high temperature reduction).

![Fig. 3. TPR profiles of the silica-supported cobalt catalysts: (a) Co/SiO$_2$ (b) Co/SBA-15 (c) Co/Ca-SBA-15 (d) Co-Ca/SBA-15.](image)

3.2. Catalytic performance

Fig. 4 summarizes the effect of temperature on $X_{\text{EtOH}}$ of the silica-supported cobalt catalysts. Also, the catalytic performance of ethanol conversion, products distribution and hydrogen yield are summarized in Table 2. The results confirm that the activity of Co/SiO$_2$, Co/SBa-15 and Co/Ca-SiO$_2$ catalysts are better than Co-Ca/SBA-15. According to the characterization, the destroyed of mesoporous structure of silica and formation of CoSiO$_3$ spinel for the Co-Ca/SBA-15 sample influences the catalytic activity. The ethanol conversion approaches completion around 400 °C ($T_{100}$) for the other three samples while only 30 % converts for the Co-Ca/SBA-15 sample. Although the activity at low temperature is preferential for the Co/SiO$_2$, the $T_{100}$ (400 °C) is higher than both the Co/SBA-15 and Co/Ca-
SBA-15 samples ($T_{100} = 375 \, ^\circ\text{C}$). When comparing the effect of temperature on the decomposition of acetaldehyde ($D_T$), we see the easy cracking of acetaldehyde promotes the increase of hydrogen yield ($Y_{H_2}$). The $D_T$ of the Co/Ca-SBA-15 sample approaches 350 °C while it is above 375 °C for the Co/SiO$_2$ sample and exceeds 500 °C for the Co-Ca/SBA-15 sample. The $Y_{H_2}$ exceeds 4.0 around 400 °C and arrives 4.76 under 500 °C for the Co/Ca-SBA-15 sample. The $Y_{H_2}$ approaches 3.45 around 400 °C and arrives 4.20 under 500 °C for the Co/SiO$_2$ sample, while, only 2.0 for the Co-Ca/SBA-15 sample at 500 °C. The superior performance of the catalyst supported on the modified mesoporous structure (Ca-SBA-15) of silica is thought to be due to a combination of factors, including the easy reduction, improved metal dispersion and surface area of catalyst.

Fig. 4. Comparison of ethanol conversion over the silica-supported cobalt catalysts for the SRE reaction: (a) Co/SiO$_2$ (b) Co/Ca-SBA-15 (c) Co/SBA-15 (d) Co-Ca/SBA-15.

Table 2. Steam reforming of ethanol over silica-supported cobalt catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>$T_R$ (°C)</th>
<th>$X_{\text{EOH}}$ (%)</th>
<th>$Y_{H_2}$</th>
<th>Products distribution (mol %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$H_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>375</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>450</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to the products distribution with temperature, the pathway of the SRE reaction over silica-supported cobalt catalysts is proposed in Scheme 1.

The low temperature (< 375 °C) presents large amounts of CH₃CHO and decreasing amounts of CH₃CHO with increase of temperature that accompanies the increase of Y_H₂. Apparently, the dehydrogenation of ethanol to acetaldehyde is the initial step. As the temperature rises, the major reaction is the decomposition of acetaldehyde into methane and CO.
\( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} + \text{H}_2 \) \hspace{1cm} (4)
\( \text{CH}_3\text{CHO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO} \) \hspace{1cm} (5)

In the presence of water, the side-reactions of water gas shift (WGS) reaction, steam reforming of methane, consecutive dehydrogenation from the methyl group and/or further react with the surface anchored OH \((\text{OH-}^*)\) species may also occur.

\( \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \) \hspace{1cm} (6)
\( \text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO} + 3 \text{H}_2 \) \hspace{1cm} (7)

Due to the endothermic nature of steam reform of methane \((\Delta H_r = 206 \text{ kJ/mol})\), the reaction (7) is carried out at high temperatures (around 600 ~ 900 °C) to achieve high conversion rates [29-31]. While, the methyl group can further react with the surface anchored OH species at lower temperature to form carbon monoxide and hydrogen [32] and/or consecutive dehydrogenation of methyl causes the formation of deposited carbon. Follow, accompanied the coal gasification and WGS reaction with CO oxidation [33] derives the minor CO distribution.

\( \text{CH}_3\text{-}^* + \text{OH-}^* \rightarrow \text{CO} + 2 \text{H}_2 \) \hspace{1cm} (8)
\( \text{CH}_3\text{-}^* \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{-}^* \rightarrow \text{CH-}^* \rightarrow \text{C} \) \hspace{1cm} (9)
\( \text{C} + \text{OH-}^* \rightarrow \text{CO} \) \hspace{1cm} (10)

Carbon deposition is considered to be the main cause for the deactivation of Co-based catalyst in the steam reforming of ethanol [34].

**Fig. 5(A)**
The effect of support can be tuned with alkaline earth to obtain sufficient acid-base sites [35, 36] for water splitting into OH group and limited acidic sites to avoid the formation of coke, which results from the polymerization of olefin.

Fig. 5 compares the conversion and products distribution as a function of time-on-stream (TOS) during the SRE reaction over both Co/SBA-15 and Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalysts at 500 °C. The Co/SBA-15 catalyst retained complete conversion for around 8 h and the $S_{\text{H}_2}$ approached 70 %, while the $S_{\text{CO}}$ was higher than 20 % after 5 h. From the decrease of CO$_2$ and increase of CO, the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction may be occurred.

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (11)
\]

Modification of SBA-15 with Ca using direct hydrothermal can relieve the carbon deposition to enhance the durability of catalyst. The Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalyst displays the better durability. The catalytic activity maintains over 100 h and the $S_{\text{H}_2}$ also approaches 68 %, while the $S_{\text{CO}}$ is higher than 10 % after 50 h.

The catalyst can maintain the long-term stability attributed to the deposited coke can be removed rapidly by the anchored hydroxyl and accompanies the WGS reaction to maintain the catalytic performance. The model of elimination of deposited coke on the Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalyst shows in the Scheme 2.

The qualitative analysis of TEM and quantitative analysis of EA can be used to characterize the deposited carbon on the surface of catalyst. Fig 6 shows the TEM images for
the Co/SBA-15 and Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalysts after the TOS test at 500 °C. Despite the higher stability of Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalyst, the deposited carbon cannot be completely suppressed; rather catalyst deactivation can only be slow down.

**Scheme 2.** Model of elimination of deposited carbon on the Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalyst.
Fig. 6. TEM images of spent catalysts: (A) Co/SBA-15 (B) Co/Ca-SBA-15.

The higher stability could be due to the lower deposited carbon. Also, the EA analysis (list in the last two columns in Table 3) confirms that the deposited carbon is 5.7 % (11 h TOS, rate is 0.52 %·h⁻¹) and 8.2 % (100 h TOS, rate is 0.08 %·h⁻¹), respectively for the Co/SBA-15 and Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalysts. The TEM images show that the deposited carbon appears as filaments and tubes emerged with the cobalt, or as a rather coating carbon covered on the surface of catalyst. According to the deactivation with deposited carbon, the coating carbon would shorten the lifetime of catalyst rather than the filaments carbon [37], which convinced with our results. Moreover, the spent Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalyst with well thermal stability maintains the mesoporous structure and retards the growth of Co by sintering [Fig 6(B)] after the SRE reaction. The results obtained for the ethanol conversion, CH₄ and CO composition, hydrogen yield and the amount of carbon on the silica-supported cobalt catalysts, which were determined from temperature-programmed experiments of the spent catalyst after the time-on-stream, are summarized in Table 3. The catalytic performance of these catalysts showed that the support played an important role in the improvement of the stability in the presence of a deactivating impurity. Due to a combination of factors, including the easy reduction, improved metal dispersion and surface area of catalyst, the Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalyst displays the better catalytic activity and durability among these series cobalt-based catalysts.
Catalytic performance and carbon deposition on the silica-supported cobalt catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>TR (℃)</th>
<th>X_{EtOH} (%)</th>
<th>S_{CH4} (%)</th>
<th>S_{CO} (%)</th>
<th>Y_{H2}</th>
<th>TOS* (h)</th>
<th>Carbon deposition EA (%)**</th>
<th>Rate (%/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co/SiO₂</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/SBA-15</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co/Ca-SBA-15</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-Ca/SBA-15</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Time-on-stream of SRE reaction at 500 ℃. **Measured by elemental analysis.

4. CONCLUSION

The highly efficient conversion of ethanol to hydrogen with very low coke deposition indicates that the SRE on Ca-modified Co/SBA-15 catalysts is a promising design for the development of hydrogen production. The modification of SBA-15 with Ca using direct hydrothermal can relieve the carbon deposition to enhance the durability of catalyst that attributed to the deposited coke can be removed rapidly by the anchored hydroxyl and accompanies the WGS reaction to maintain the catalytic performance. The Co/Ca-SBA-15 catalyst exhibits better catalytic activity and durability among these catalysts. The Y_{H2} approaches 4.76 at 500 ℃ and less coke deposition. Furthermore, the durability test of this catalyst approaches 100 h at 500 ℃ and does not deactivate.
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